GREATEST COMMON DIVISORS

Below is a nice result on common divisors that is useful in future proofs while, at the same time, has never seemed that obvious to me.

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a, b \neq 0$. Then there exist unique elements *r* and *s* such that the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b* can be written as,

$$gcd(a,b) = ar + bs$$

<u>Proof:</u> Let $S = \{am + bn | m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } am + bn > 0\}$. Clearly, $S \neq \emptyset$ since if a, b > 0, then $a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 > 0$ and $a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 \in S$. On the other hand, if one or both of a and b is negative, say for example that a < 0 and b > 0, $a \cdot (-1) + b \cdot 1 > 0$ and $a \cdot (-1) + b \cdot 1 \in S$. The other possible combinations of one or both of a and b being negative can be handled similarly.

Since *S* is a subset of the positive integers, there is going to be a smallest element in *S* which we can represent as d = ar + bs for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Our claim now is that $d = \gcd(a,b)$, the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b*. First, we will show that *d* divides both *a* and *b*. Now, we know that in general, if we divide *d* into *a*, then we will get a result that looks like $a = d \cdot q + t$ where $0 \le t < d$. If t > 0, then $a = d \cdot q + t \Rightarrow t = a - d \cdot q \Rightarrow t = a - (ar + bs)q = a - arq - bsq = a(1 - rq) + b(-sq) \in S$. However, since t < d, this contradicts our choice of *d* as the smallest element in *S*. Hence, we must have t = 0 and *d* divides *a*. An identical argument can now be used to show that *d* divides *b*.

To show that *d* is the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b*, suppose that there exists $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that *f* divides both *a* and *b*, and f > d. Since *f* divides *a*, there is an integer *q* such that a = fq, and since *f* divides *b*, there is an integer *z* such that b = fz. Hence, d = ar + bs = (fq)r + (fz)s = f(qr + zs). From this last expression it follows that *f* divides *d*. But this is a contradiction since f > d. Therefore, $d = ar + bs = \gcd(a,b)$.

<u>Corollary</u>: If f > 0 is a common divisor of a and b, and if we can write f = am + bn for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f = gcd(a, b).

<u>Proof:</u> Let $d = \gcd(a,b)$. Then by our theorem above, d is the smallest positive element in $S = \{am+bn | m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } am+bn > 0\}$. If f > 0 is also a common divisor of a and b, then clearly $f \le d = \gcd(a,b)$. But on the other hand, if we can write f in the form f = am+bn for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\bigcup S = \{am+bn | m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } am+bn > 0\}$, and, hence, $f \ge d$. It now easily follows that f = d. We'll now illustrate a procedure called the *Euclidean Algorithm* for finding the greatest common divisor of two positive integers. In particular, let's find gcd(945,2415). We'll start by writing 2415 in the form (dividend) = (divisor)(quotient) + (remainder) where the dividend is 2415 and the divisor is 945. This gives us,

$$2415 = 945 \cdot 2 + 525$$

We now repeat the process by, this time, using 945 as our dividend and 525 as the divisor.

$$945 = 525 \cdot 1 + 420$$

An if we continue this same pattern, then eventually we will arrive at a remainder of 0.

$$525 = 420 \cdot 1 + 105$$
$$420 = 105 \cdot 4 + 0$$

The claim now is that 105 = gcd(945, 2415). To verify this, we can start by working backwards from our last equation.

$$420 = 105 \cdot 4 + 0$$

$$525 = (105 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 105$$

$$945 = [(105 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 105] \cdot 1 + (105 \cdot 4)$$

$$2415 = ([(105 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 105] \cdot 1 + (105 \cdot 4)) \cdot 2 + [(105 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 105]$$

Since we can rewrite this last equation as,

$$2415 = 105([(1 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 1] \cdot 1 + (1 \cdot 4)) \cdot 2 + [(1 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 1] = 105 \cdot 23$$

And since we can rewrite the next to last equation as,

$$945 = 105 \cdot [(1 \cdot 4) \cdot 1 + 11] \cdot 1 + (1 \cdot 4) = 105 \cdot 9,$$

It is clear that 105 is a divisor of both 2145 and 945. But on the other hand, we can also work backwards to obtain,

$$105 = 525 + (-1) \cdot 420$$

$$105 = 525 + (-1) \cdot [945 + (-1) \cdot 525] = 2 \cdot 525 + (-1) \cdot 945$$

$$105 = 2 \cdot [2415 + (-2) \cdot 945] + (-1) \cdot 945 = 2 \cdot 2415 + (-5) \cdot 945 > 0$$

Thus, since 105 is a common divisor of 2145 and 945 and since $105 = 2 \cdot 2415 + (-5) \cdot 945$, it follows from our corollary above that $105 = \gcd(945, 2415)$.